



Pennant Walters

---

# **FOEL TRAWSNANT GRID CONNECTION**

EIA Report – Volume 1: Main Report





Pennant Walters

---

# FOEL TRAWSNANT GRID CONNECTION

EIA Report – Volume 1: Main Report

**PUBLIC**

**PROJECT NO. UK0037904.7662**

**DATE: MARCH 2025**

WSP

The Mailbox

Level 2

100 Wharfside Street

Birmingham

B1 1RT

Phone: +44 23 8010 1700

WSP.com



# QUALITY CONTROL

---

Issue/revision	First issue
Remarks	
Date	14/03/2025
Prepared by	Aaron Loman
Signature	Aaron Loman
Checked by	Edd Purnell
Signature	Edd Purnell
Authorised by	Edd Purnell
Signature	Edd Purnell
Project number	UK0037904.7662
Report number	UK0037804_FoelTrawsnant_0083

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT	1
1.2	EXISTING SITE AND SURROUNDINGS	1
1.3	THE APPLICANT AND THE PROJECT TEAM	1
1.4	PURPOSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT	2
1.5	SCOPE OF THE EIA REPORT	3
1.6	STRUCTURE OF THIS EIA REPORT	4
1.7	OTHER APPLICATION DOCUMENTS	5
1.8	AVAILABILITY OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	5
<b>2</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT APPROACH</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS	6
2.2	EIA TERMINOLOGY	6
	IMPACTS AND EFFECTS	6
	SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL SCOPE	6
2.3	EIA SCOPING	7
2.4	CONSULTATION	8
	EIA SCOPING OPINION	8
2.5	OVERVIEW OF ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	8
	INTRODUCTION	8
2.6	IDENTIFICATION OF BASELINE CONDITIONS	8
2.7	OVERVIEW OF APPROACH TO SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	9
	INTRODUCTION	9
	IDENTIFICATION OF LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS	9
	TYPES OF EFFECTS	10
	SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION	10
	RECEPTOR SENSITIVITY, IMPORTANCE, OR VALUE	11

---

	MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	12
	DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE	12
2.8	<b>ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>13</b>
2.9	<b>MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	<b>17</b>
2.10	<b>RESIDUAL EFFECTS</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DESIGN, EVOLUTION AND ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>18</b>
3.1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>18</b>
3.2	<b>SITE SELECTION</b>	<b>18</b>
	SITE SELECTION PROCESS	18
3.3	<b>CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>19</b>
	WITHOUT THE PROJECT	19
	TECHNOLOGY	19
3.4	<b>DESIGN EVOLUTION</b>	<b>19</b>
3.5	<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>22</b>
4.2	<b>DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>22</b>
	SITE LOCATION	22
	EXISTING SITE AND SURROUNDINGS	22
	DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS	23
4.3	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>27</b>
	CONSTRUCTION	27
	OPERATION	29
	DECOMMISSIONING	29
<b>5</b>	<b>PLANNING POLICY</b>	<b>30</b>
5.1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>30</b>

<b>5.2</b>	<b>PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT</b>	<b>30</b>
	CLIMATE CHANGE ACT (2008)	31
	THE ENVIRONMENT (WALES) ACT 2016	31
	CLIMATE EMERGENCY	31
<b>5.3</b>	<b>THE LEGISLATIVE PLANNING FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>32</b>
	NATIONAL POLICY STATEMENTS	32
	FUTURE WALES: THE NATIONAL PLAN 2040	32
	PLANNING POLICY WALES (EDITION 12)	33
	TECHNICAL ADVICE NOTE	34
<b>5.4</b>	<b>THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN</b>	<b>34</b>
	BRIDGEND COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPLACEMENT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (RLDP), ADOPTED MARCH 2024	35
	NEATH PORT TALBOT COUNTY BOROUGH (NPTCBC) COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (LDP), ADOPTED JANUARY 2016	35
	OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS	36
<b>6</b>	<b>LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>37</b>
	ASSUMPTIONS	37
	LIMITATIONS	38
<b>6.3</b>	<b>POLICY, LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE</b>	<b>38</b>
	GUIDANCE	42
<b>6.4</b>	<b>CONSULTATION</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>43</b>
	STUDY AREA	43
	DESK STUDY	43
	DEVELOPMENTS RELEVANT TO THE CUMULATIVE ASSESSMENT	45
<b>6.6</b>	<b>BASELINE OF LANDSCAPE RECEPTORS</b>	<b>46</b>

	THE PROJECT SITE AND IMMEDIATE SURROUNDING AREA	46
	DESIGNATIONS	47
	NATIONAL LANDSCAPE CHARACTER	50
	LOCAL LANDSCAPE CHARACTER	51
	LANDMAP	52
<b>6.7</b>	<b>BASELINE OF VISUAL RECEPTORS</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>6.8</b>	<b>FUTURE BASELINE</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>6.9</b>	<b>EMBEDDED MITIGATION</b>	<b>55</b>
	LIKELY CONSTRUCTION PHASE EFFECTS	55
	CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION	55
	LIKELY OPERATIONAL PHASE EFFECTS	56
	LANDSCAPE	57
	VISUAL AMENITY	57
	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS	57
<b>6.11</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF RESIDUAL LANDSCAPE EFFECTS</b>	<b>58</b>
	LOCAL CHARACTER AREAS	59
	LANDMAP VISUAL AND SENSORY AREAS	60
<b>6.12</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF RESIDUAL VISUAL EFFECTS</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>6.13</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF LANDSCAPE, VISUAL AND CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>6.14</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION</b>	<b>80</b>
	LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE PROJECT	80
	VISUAL SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE PROJECT	80
<b>6.15</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>7.3</b>	<b>POLICY, LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE</b>	<b>84</b>
	POLICY	84

	LEGISLATION	85
	TECHNICAL GUIDANCE	85
<b>7.4</b>	<b>CONSULTATION</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>7.5</b>	<b>DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>7.6</b>	<b>OVERALL BASELINE</b>	<b>87</b>
	TRAFFIC FLOW DATA	90
<b>7.7</b>	<b>SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>92</b>
	TEMPORAL SCOPE	93
	SPATIAL SCOPE	95
	LIKELY SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT EFFECTS	95
<b>7.8</b>	<b>EMBEDDED MEASURES</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>7.9</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>97</b>
	DETERMINATION OF RECEPTOR SENSITIVITY	97
	DETERMINATION OF MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	98
	SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECT	99
	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	100
<b>7.10</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</b>	<b>100</b>
	CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION	100
	SENSITIVITY OF RECEPTOR	104
	MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	105
	SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECT	107
<b>7.11</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>7.12</b>	<b>MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>7.13</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>7.14</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>ECOLOGY</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>112</b>

<b>8.4</b>	<b>CONSULTATION</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>8.5</b>	<b>DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>8.6</b>	<b>SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>119</b>
	SPATIAL SCOPE	120
<b>8.7</b>	<b>ECOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>8.8</b>	<b>CURRENT BASELINE</b>	<b>125</b>
	STATUTORY SITES	125
	NON-STATUTORY SITES	126
	OTHER HABITATS OF CONSERVATION IMPORTANCE	128
	ON-SITE HABITATS	128
	PROTECTED SPECIES	136
	OTHER MAMMALS	139
	PROTECTED AND NOTABLE BIRDS	139
	AMPHIBIANS	140
	REPTILES	141
	FISH	142
	INVERTEBRATES	142
	NOTABLE PLANTS	142
	INNS	143
	FUTURE BASELINE	143
<b>8.9</b>	<b>EMBEDDED MEASURES</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>8.10</b>	<b>NOT SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS</b>	<b>148</b>
	COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY	149
	THE STEPWISE APPROACH	150
	THE DECCA FRAMEWORK	150
<b>8.11</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>8.12</b>	<b>PREDICTED EFFECTS AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>8.13</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>8.14</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION</b>	<b>177</b>

	SUMMARY OF LIKELY RESIDUAL SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS	178
8.15	CONSERVATION PLAN	178
8.16	FURTHER WORK TO BE UNDERTAKEN	178
8.17	REFERENCES	180
<b>9</b>	<b>HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>182</b>
9.1	INTRODUCTION	182
9.2	LIMITATIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT	182
9.3	POLICY, LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE	183
	LEGISLATION	183
	PLANNING POLICY	184
	HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT GUIDANCE	186
9.4	CONSULTATION	188
9.5	DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY	192
	STUDY AREA	192
	ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESK-BASED ASSESSMENT (ADBA)	192
	ADDITIONAL BASELINE SURVEY	193
9.6	OVERALL BASELINE	194
	NON-DESIGNATED HISTORIC ASSETS	194
	DESIGNATED HISTORIC ASSETS	195
	MARGAM MOUNTAIN REGISTERED HISTORIC LANDSCAPE (HLW (WGI/MGI) 2)	197
	Y BWLWARCAU HILLFORT (GM059)	198
	MAESTEG BLAST FURNACES (GM418) & BLAST FURNACE AT MAESTEG SPORTS CENTRE (GRADE II LISTED; 18492)	198
	ROMAN CAMP (GM058)	199
	LLANGYNWYD CASTLE (GM085)	199
	BRITISH FORTIFIED RESIDENCE (GM086)	199
	MYNYDD TY TALWYN ANCIENT FARMS (GM092)	200
	SITE OF BODVOC STONE (GM443)	200

	TWMPATH DIWLITH ROUND (GM557)	200
	GARTH HILL PLATFORM HOUSE (GM552)	201
	MAESTEG SPORTS CENTRE, THE CORNSTORES (GRADE II* LISTED; 11257)	201
	SILOH INDEPENDENT CHAPEL (GRADE II LISTED; 11356)	201
	SALEM WELSH BAPTIST CHAPEL (GRADE II* LISTED; 18495)	202
	CAPEL SARON (GRADE II LISTED; 18496)	202
	NANTYFFYLLON WORKMEN'S INSTITUTE (GRADE II LISTED; 18507)	202
	LLWYDARTH FARMHOUSE (GRADE II* LISTED; 11256)	202
	GLIFACH-GANOL FARMHOUSE (GRADE II LISTED; 11375)	203
	BEE BOLES AND STILE IN GARDEN WALL AT GILFACH-GANOL FARM (GRADE II LISTED; 20432)	203
<b>9.7</b>	<b>SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>203</b>
	SPATIAL SCOPE	203
	TEMPORAL SCOPE	203
<b>9.8</b>	<b>EMBEDDED MEASURES</b>	<b>204</b>
<b>9.9</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>205</b>
	IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL RECEPTORS	205
	IDENTIFICATION OF EFFECTS	207
	DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECTS	208
	MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	209
<b>9.10</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</b>	<b>210</b>
	CONSTRUCTION PHASE EFFECTS	210
	OPERATIONAL PHASE EFFECTS	216
<b>9.11</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>9.12</b>	<b>MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>9.13</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>WATER RESOURCES AND FLOOD RISK</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>10.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>222</b>

<b>10.2</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS OF THIS ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>10.3</b>	<b>POLICY, LEGISLATION AND GUIDANCE</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>10.4</b>	<b>CONSULTATION</b>	<b>224</b>
	SCOPING OPINION	224
	MATTERS SCOPED OUT	228
	CONSULTATION RESPONSES	228
<b>10.5</b>	<b>DATA GATHERING METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>10.6</b>	<b>OVERALL BASELINE</b>	<b>231</b>
	EXISTING BASELINE	231
<b>10.7</b>	<b>SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</b>	<b>238</b>
<b>10.8</b>	<b>EMBEDDED MEASURES</b>	<b>238</b>
	CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION MEASURES	238
	OPERATION MITIGATION MEASURES	240
	DECOMMISSIONING MITIGATION MEASURES	240
<b>10.9</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>240</b>
	SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECT CRITERIA	240
<b>10.10</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</b>	<b>246</b>
	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	246
	OPERATION PHASE	254
	DECOMMISSIONING PHASE	255
<b>10.11</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>10.12</b>	<b>MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS</b>	<b>261</b>
	MITIGATION MEASURES	261
	RESIDUAL IMPACTS	261
<b>10.13</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION</b>	<b>262</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF MITIGATION</b>	<b>263</b>

## TABLES

Table 1-1 - Scope of EIA Report	3
Table 2-1 - Significance Evaluation Matrix	12
Table 2-2 - Cumulative Developments	14
Table 4-1 - Key Features of the Project	23
Table 4-2 - Indicative Temporary and Permanent Land Take Areas	26
Table 6-1 - Legislation relevant to the LVIA	38
Table 6-2 - Landscape planning policy relevant to the LVIA	39
Table 6-3 – Technical guidance relevant to the LVIA	42
Table 6-4 - Data sources used to inform the LVIA	43
Table 6-5 - Developments within 3km included in the CLVIA	46
Table 6-6 - Description and landscape qualities of SLAs	48
Table 6-7 - Evaluation of Landscape and Visual Effects	57
Table 6-8 - Effects on Local Landscape Character	59
Table 6-9 - Assessment of effects on Local Landscape Character Areas Summary Table	59
Table 6-10 - Sensitivity of LANDMAP VSAA Summary Table	60
Table 6-11 - Assessment of effects on LANDMAP VSAA Summary Table	60
Table 6-12 - Sensitivity of Viewpoint/ Visual receptors Summary Table	61
Table 6-13 - Assessment of effects on Viewpoint/ Visual receptors Summary Table	62
Table 6-14 - Summary Effects Table for Landscape Receptors	65
Table 6-15 - Summary Effects Table for Visual Receptors	73
Table 7-1 – National and Local Planning Policies	84
Table 7-2 – Data sources used to inform the traffic and transport assessment	86
Table 7-3 – Baseline Traffic Flow Data	90
Table 7-4 – PIA Summary: 2019 - 2023	91
Table 7-5 – Elements scoped in and out of the assessment of traffic and transport effects	92
Table 7-6 – 2025 Baseline Traffic Flows	93
Table 7-7 – 2028 Baseline Traffic Flows	94

Table 7-8 – Receptor sensitivity summary	97
Table 7-9 – Magnitude of change summary	99
Table 7-10 – Traffic and transport significance evaluation matrix	100
Table 7-11 – Estimated Construction Traffic Generation	101
Table 7-12 – Highway Receptor Sensitivity	104
Table 7-13 – Magnitude of Change	106
Table 8-1 - Legislation relevant to the biodiversity assessment	114
Table 8-2 - Planning policy relevant to the biodiversity assessment	115
Table 8-3 - Technical guidance relevant to the biodiversity assessment	117
Table 8-4 - Examples of Criteria used to Evaluate Important Ecological Features	122
Table 8-5 - Magnitude of Potential Impacts	123
Table 8-6 - International statutory designated sites within 10km of the Site	125
Table 8-7 - Non-statutory designated sites within 2km of the Site	127
Table 8-8 - Phase 1 Habitat Areas within the Survey Area and their conservation value	130
Table 8-9 - Summary of Embedded Measures	144
Table 8-10 - IEFs which have been scoped in or out for further assessment	152
Table 8-11 - Characterisation of the unmitigated impact on the IEF	159
Table 8-12 - Cumulative assessment	172
Table 9-1 – Legislation relevant to the Historic Environment assessment	183
Table 9-2 – Planning policies relevant to the Historic Environment assessment	184
Table 9-3 – Historic Environment guidance relevant to the assessment	186
Table 9-4 - Scoping Opinion Responses for Historic Environment	188
Table 9-5 – Summary of the data sources consulted for the ADBA (Heneb, 2024)	192
Table 9-6 – Non-designated historic assets located within the Site	194
Table 9-7 – Designated historic assets scoped into the assessment of effects through change to setting	196
Table 9-8 – Summary of the embedded environmental measures related to the Historic Environment	204
Table 9-9 – Non-Designated Historic Environment Receptors within areas scoped in	205

Table 9-10 – Non-Designated Historic Environment Receptors within areas scoped out	207
Table 9-11 – Definition of Heritage Significance	208
Table 9-12 – Establishing the magnitude of change	209
Table 9-13 – Significance evaluation matrix	210
Table 9-14 – Construction phase effects from direct disturbance on non-designated historic assets	212
Table 9-15 - Construction phase effects on historic assets arising through change to setting	214
Table 9-16 - Operational phase effects on historic assets arising through change to setting	217
Table 10-1 – Scoping Opinion responses	225
Table 10-2 – Summary of consultation	229
Table 10-3 - BGS Geology Viewer	234
Table 10-4 - Criteria for estimating the importance of Water Resources and Flood Risk receptors	241
Table 10-5 - Criteria for estimating the potential magnitude of an impact on a Water Resources and Flood Risk receptor	243
Table 10-6 – Level of Effect	245
Table 10-7 – Construction phase assessment of likely significant impacts	247
Table 10-8 – Operation phase assessment of likely significant impacts	254
Table 10-9 – Summary of potentially significant developments within the Study Area of the Project	256
Table 11-1 - Summary of Significant Effects	264